

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat int and Trademark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVEN	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	
<u>L</u>	09/189,0	43 11/09/	98 ROCKLAGE		S 238/117
Г	022249		HM22/0619	7	EXAMINER

022249 LYON & LYON LLP SUITE 4700 633 WEST FIFTH STREET LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2066 HOLLINDEN, G

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1616

DATE MAILED:

06/19/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/189.043	ROCKLAGE ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gary E Hollinden, Ph.D.	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
This ipplication is abandoned in view of:					
EApplicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 15 December 1999.      (ĕ ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on					
A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CRF 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.					
(A proper reply under 37 CRF 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; or (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee)).					
) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
2. ] Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTO-85).					
a) The issue fee was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee set in the Notice of Allowance.					
(b) The submitted issue fee of \$ is insufficient. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$					
(c) The issue fee has not been received.					
Applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as required in the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed new formal drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)), which expired on					
(b) The proposed new formal drawings filed are not acceptable.					
(c) No proposed new formal drawings have been received.					
t. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire interest, or all of			
<ul> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ul>					
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfe of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clai</li> </ol>	rence rendered on and becauins.	se the period for seeking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:					
	•				
		Gary E Hollinden, Ph.D.			
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1616			